Recall: How does the Processor Talk to the Device?

- CPU interacts with a Controller
  - Contains a set of registers that can be read and written
  - May contain memory for request queues, etc.
- Processor accesses registers in two ways:
  - Port-Mapped I/O: in/out instructions
    » Example from the Intel architecture: `out 0x21, AL`
  - Memory-mapped I/O: load/store instructions
    » Registers/memory appear in physical address space
    » I/O accomplished with load and store instructions

Recall: Example Memory-Mapped Display Controller

- Memory-Mapped:
  - Hardware maps control registers and display memory into physical address space
    » Addresses set by HW jumpers or at boot time
  - Simply writing to display memory (also called the “frame buffer”) changes image on screen
    » Addr: 0x8000F000 — 0x8000FFFF
  - Writing graphics description to cmd queue
    » Say enter a set of triangles describing some scene
    » Addr: 0x80010000 — 0x8001FFFF
  - Writing to the command register may cause on-board graphics hardware to do something
    » Say render the above scene
    » Addr: 0x0007F004
  - Can protect with address translation

Transferring Data To/From Controller

- Programmed I/O:
  - Each byte transferred via processor in/out or load/store
  - Pro: Simple hardware, easy to program
  - Con: Consumes processor cycles proportional to data size
- Direct Memory Access:
  - Give controller access to memory bus
  - Ask it to transfer data blocks to/from memory directly
- Sample interaction with DMA controller (from OSC book):
  1. Device driver is told to transfer data to buffer at address X
  2. Device driver loads DMA controller
  3. DMA controller transfers data from device to buffer at address X
  4. DMA controller sends each byte to device driver
  5. Device driver receives data
  6. Device driver signals completion to operating system
**Transferring Data To/From Controller**

- **Programmed I/O:**
  - Each byte transferred via processor in/out or load/store
  - Pro: Simple hardware, easy to program
  - Con: Consumes processor cycles proportional to data size

- **Direct Memory Access:**
  - Give controller access to memory bus
  - Ask it to transfer data blocks to/from memory directly

- **Sample interaction with DMA controller (from OSC book):**

**I/O Device Notifying the OS**

- The OS needs to know when:
  - The I/O device has completed an operation
  - The I/O operation has encountered an error

- **I/O Interrupt:**
  - Device generates an interrupt whenever it needs service
  - Pro: handles unpredictable events well
  - Con: interrupts relatively high overhead

- **Polling:**
  - OS periodically checks a device-specific status register
  - Pro: low overhead
  - Con: may waste many cycles on polling if infrequent or unpredictable I/O operations

- Actual devices combine both polling and interrupts
  - For instance – High-bandwidth network adapter:
    - Interrupt for first incoming packet
    - Poll for following packets until hardware queues are empty

---

**Kernel Device Structure**

**The System Call Interface**

- Process Management
- Concurrency, multitasking
- Architecture Dependent Code
- Memory Management
- Virtual memory
- Files and dirs: the VFS
- File System Types
- Block Devices
- Device Control
- TTYs and device access
- Connectivity
- Network Subsystem
- IF drivers

**Recall: Device Drivers**

- **Device Driver:** Device-specific code in the kernel that interacts directly with the device hardware
  - Supports a standard, internal interface
  - Same kernel I/O system can interact easily with different device drivers
  - Special device-specific configuration supported with the `ioctl()` system call

- **Device Drivers typically divided into two pieces:**
  - Top half: accessed in call path from system calls
    - Implements a set of `standard, cross-device calls` like `open()`, `close()`, `read()`, `write()`, `ioctl()`, `strategy()`
    - This is the kernel’s interface to the device driver
  - Bottom half: run as interrupt routine
    - Gets input or transfers next block of output
    - May wake sleeping threads if I/O now complete
Recall: Life Cycle of An I/O Request

The Goal of the I/O Subsystem

- Provide Uniform Interfaces, Despite Wide Range of Different Devices
  - This code works on many different devices:
    ```c
    FILE fd = fopen("/dev/something", "rw");
    for (int i = 0; i < 10; i++) {
        fprintf(fd, "Count %d\n", i);
    }
    close(fd);
    ```
  - Why? Because code that controls devices ("device driver") implements standard interface
- We will try to get a flavor for what is involved in actually controlling devices in rest of lecture
  - Can only scratch surface!

Want Standard Interfaces to Devices

- **Block Devices:** e.g. disk drives, tape drives, DVD-ROM
  - Access blocks of data
  - Commands include open(), read(), write(), seek()
  - Raw I/O or file-system access
  - Memory-mapped file access possible
- **Character Devices:** e.g. keyboards, mice, serial ports, some USB devices
  - Single characters at a time
  - Commands include get(), put()
  - Libraries layered on top allow line editing
- **Network Devices:** e.g. Ethernet, Wireless, Bluetooth
  - Different enough from block/character to have own interface
  - Unix and Windows include socket interface
    » Separates network protocol from network operation
    » Includes select() functionality
  - Usage: pipes, FIFOs, streams, queues, mailboxes

How Does User Deal with Timing?

- **Blocking Interface:** "Wait"
  - When request data (e.g. read() system call), put process to sleep until data is ready
  - When write data (e.g. write() system call), put process to sleep until device is ready for data
- **Non-blocking Interface:** "Don’t Wait"
  - Returns quickly from read or write request with count of bytes successfully transferred
  - Read may return nothing, write may write nothing
- **Asynchronous Interface:** “Tell Me Later”
  - When request data, take pointer to user’s buffer, return immediately; later kernel fills buffer and notifies user
  - When send data, take pointer to user’s buffer, return immediately; later kernel takes data and notifies user
Administrivia

- Sorry about infrastructure disaster yesterday!
  - We extended the HW4 deadline
- HW 5 will have a Rust option!
  - Choose one or the other
- Project 2 still due Friday
- Midterm 3 on April 25
  - All topics up to previous Tuesday (4/23) are in scope
  - Closed book, 3 pages, double-sided handwritten notes.

Storage Devices

- Magnetic disks
  - Storage that rarely becomes corrupted
  - Large capacity at low cost
  - Block level random access (except for SMR – later!)
  - Slow performance for random access
  - Better performance for sequential access

- Flash memory
  - Storage that rarely becomes corrupted
  - Capacity at intermediate cost (5-20x disk)
  - Block level random access
  - Good performance for reads; worse for random writes
  - Erasure requirement in large blocks
  - Wear patterns issue

Hard Disk Drives (HDDs)

IBM/Hitachi Microdrive

Western Digital Drive
http://www.storagereview.com/guide/

IBM Personal Computer/AT (1986)
- 30 MB hard disk - $500
- 30-40ms seek time
- 0.7-1 MB/s (est.)
The Amazing Magnetic Disk

- **Unit of Transfer: Sector** (512B or 4096B)
  - Ring of sectors form a **track**
  - Stack of tracks form a **cylinder**
  - Heads position on **cylinders**
- Disk Tracks ~ 1µm (micron) wide
  - Wavelength of light is ~ 0.5µm
  - Resolution of human eye: 50µm
  - 100K tracks on a typical 2.5" disk
- Separated by unused guard regions
  - Reduces likelihood neighboring tracks are corrupted during writes (still a small non-zero chance)

Shingled Magnetic Recording (SMR)

- Overlapping tracks yields greater density, capacity
- Restrictions on writing, complex DSP for reading

Magnetic Disk Performance

- Cylinders: all the tracks under the head at a given point on all surfaces
- Read/write data is a three-stage process:
  - **Seek time**: position the head/arm over the proper cylinder
  - **Rotational latency**: wait for desired sector to rotate under r/w head
  - **Transfer time**: transfer a block of bits (sector) under r/w head

\[
\text{Disk Latency} = \text{Queueing Time} + \text{Controller time} + \text{Seek Time} + \text{Rotation Time} + \text{Xfer Time}
\]
Typical Numbers for Magnetic Disk

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Info/Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space/Density</td>
<td>Space: 18TB (Seagate), 9 platters, in 3½ inch form factor! <strong>Areal Density:</strong> ≥ 1 Terabit/square inch! (PMR, Helium, …)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Seek Time</td>
<td>Typically 4-6 milliseconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Rotational Latency</td>
<td>Most laptop/desktop disks rotate at 3600-7200 RPM (16-8 ms/rotation). Server disks up to 15,000 RPM. Average latency is halfway around disk so 4-8 milliseconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controller Time</td>
<td>Depends on controller hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Time</td>
<td>Typically 50 to 270 MB/s. Depends on:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Transfer size (usually a sector): 512B – 1KB per sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Rotation speed: 3600 RPM to 15000 RPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Recording density: bits per inch on a track</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Diameter: ranges from 1 in to 5.25 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Used to drop by a factor of two every 1.5 years (or faster), now slowing down</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disk Performance Example

- **Assumptions:**
  - Ignoring queuing and controller times for now
  - Avg seek time of 5ms
  - 7200RPM ⇒ Time for rotation: 60000 (ms/min) / 7200(rev/min) = 8ms
  - Avg time to find block = ½ × 8ms = 4ms
  - Transfer rate of 50MB/second, block size of 4Kbyte ⇒ 4096 bytes/50×10^6 (bytes/s) = 81.92 × 10^-6 sec ≈ 0.082 ms for 1 sector

- **Read block from random place on disk:**
  - Seek (5ms) + Rot. Delay (4ms) + Transfer (0.082ms) = 9.082ms
  - Approx 9ms to fetch/put data: 4096 bytes/9.082×10^-3 s ≈ 451KB/s

- **Read block from random place in same cylinder:**
  - Rot. Delay (4ms) + Transfer (0.082ms) = 4.082ms
  - Approx 4ms to fetch/put data: 4096 bytes/4.082×10^-3 s ≈ 1.03MB/s

- **Read next block on same track:**
  - Transfer (0.082ms): 4096 bytes/0.082×10^-3 s ≈ 50MB/sec

- **Key to using disk effectively is to minimize seek and rotational delays**

Lots of Intelligence in the Controller

- Sectors contain sophisticated error correcting codes
  - Disk head magnet has a field wider than track
  - Hide corruptions due to neighboring track writes

- Sector sparing
  - Remap bad sectors transparently to spare sectors on the same surface

- Slip sparing
  - Remap all sectors (when there is a bad sector) to preserve sequential behavior

- Track skewing
  - Sector numbers offset from one track to the next, to allow for disk head movement for sequential ops

When is Disk Performance Highest?

- When there are big sequential reads, or
- When there is so much work to do that they can be piggy backed (reordering queues—one moment)

- It is OK to be inefficient when things are mostly idle
- Bursts are both a threat and an opportunity
- <your idea for optimization goes here>
  - Waste space for speed?

- Other techniques:
  - Reduce overhead through user level drivers
  - Reduce the impact of I/O delays by doing other useful work in the meantime
Disk Scheduling (1/3)

• Disk can do only one request at a time; What order do you choose to do queued requests?
  User Requests → Disk → Head

• FIFO Order
  – Fair among requesters, but order of arrival may be to random spots on the disk ⇒ Very long seeks

• SSTF: Shortest seek time first
  – Pick the request that’s closest on the disk
  – Although called SSTF, today must include rotational delay in calculation, since rotation can be as long as seek
  – Con: SSTF good at reducing seeks, but may lead to starvation

Disk Scheduling (2/3)

• Disk can do only one request at a time; What order do you choose to do queued requests?
  User Requests → Disk → Head

• SCAN: Implements an Elevator Algorithm: take the closest request in the direction of travel
  – No starvation, but retains flavor of SSTF

Disk Scheduling (3/3)

• Disk can do only one request at a time; What order do you choose to do queued requests?
  User Requests → Disk → Head

• C-SCAN: Circular-Scan: only goes in one direction
  – Skips any requests on the way back
  – Fairer than SCAN, not biased towards pages in middle

Example of Current HDDs

• Seagate Exos X24 (2023)
  – 24 TB hard disk
  – 10 platters, 20 heads
  – 1.26 TB/in²
  – Helium filled: reduce friction and power
  – 4.16 ms average seek time
  – 4096 byte physical sectors
  – 7200 RPMs
  – Dual 6 Gbps SATA /12Gbps SAS interface
  – 285MB/s MAX transfer rate
  – Cache size: 512MB
  – Price: $479 (~ $0.02/GB)

• IBM Personal Computer/AT (1986)
  – 30 MB hard disk
  – 30-40 ms average seek time
  – 0.7-1 MB/s (est.)
  – Price: $500 ($17K/GB)
Solid State Disks (SSDs)

- 1995 – Replace rotating magnetic media with non-volatile memory (battery backed DRAM)
- 2009 – Use NAND Multi-Level Cell (2 or 3-bit/cell) flash memory
  - Sector (4 KB page) addressable, but stores 4-64 “pages” per memory block
  - Trapped electrons distinguish between 1 and 0
- No moving parts (no rotate/seek motors)
  - Eliminates seek and rotational delay (0.1-0.2ms access time)
  - Very low power and lightweight
  - Limited “write cycles”
- Rapid advances in capacity and cost ever since!

FLASH Memory

- Like a normal transistor but:
  - Has a floating gate that can hold charge
  - To write: raise or lower wordline high enough to cause charges to tunnel
  - To read: turn on wordline as if normal transistor
  - presence of charge changes threshold and thus measured current
- Two varieties:
  - NAND: denser, must be read and written in blocks
  - NOR: much less dense, fast to read and write
- V-NAND: 3D stacking (Samsung claims 1TB possible in 1 chip)

Flash Memory (Con't)

- Data read and written in page-sized chunks (e.g. 4K)
  - Cannot be addressed at byte level
  - Random access at block level for reads (no locality advantage)
  - Writing of new blocks handled in order (kinda like a log)
- Before writing, must be erased (256K block at a time)
  - Requires free-list management
  - CANNOT write over existing block (Copy-on-Write is normal case)

SSD Architecture – Reads

- Read 4 KB Page: ~25 usec
  - No seek or rotational latency
  - Transfer time: transfer a 4KB page
    - SATA: 300-600MB/s => ~4 x 10^7 b / 400 x 10^6 bps => 10 us
  - Latency = Queuing Time + Controller time + Xfer Time
  - Highest Bandwidth: Sequential OR Random reads
SSD Architecture – Writes

• Writing data to NAND Flash is complex!
  – Can only write empty pages in a block (~ 200μs)
  – Erasing a block takes ~1.5ms
  – Controller maintains pool of empty blocks by coalescing used pages (read, erase, write), also reserves some % of capacity
  – Rule of thumb: writes 10x reads, erasure 10x writes

• SSDs provide same interface as HDDs: read and write chunk (4KB) at a time

• Why not just erase and rewrite new version of entire 256KB block?
  – Erasure is very slow (milliseconds)
  – Each block has a finite lifetime, can only be erased and rewritten about 10K times
  – Heavily used blocks likely to wear out quickly

Managing Writes: Flash Translation Layer

• Maintain Flash Translation Layer (FTL) in SSD
  – Layer of Indirection between OS and FLASH
  – Map virtual block numbers (which OS uses) to physical page numbers (which flash mem. controller uses)
  – Can now freely relocate data w/o OS knowing

• FTL advantages/mechanism:
  – Copy on Write: No need to immediately erase entire 256K block when modifying 4K page
    » Don’t overwrite page when OS updates data
    » Instead, write new version in a free page
    » Update FTL mapping to point to new location
  – Wear Levelling: Try to wear out NAND evenly
    » SSD controller can assign mappings to spread workload across pages
  – What to do with old versions of pages?
    » Garbage Collection in background
    » Erase blocks with old pages, add to free list

Some “Current” (large) 3.5in SSDs

• Seagate Exos SSD: 15.36TB (2017)
  – Dual 12Gb/s interface
  – Seq reads 860MB/s
  – Seq writes 920MB/s
  – Random Reads (IOPS): 102K
  – Random Writes (IOPS): 15K
  – Price (Amazon): $5495 ($0.36/GB)

• Nimbus SSD: 100TB (2019)
  – Dual port: 12Gb/s interface
  – Seq reads/writes: 500MB/s
  – Random Read Ops (IOPS): 100K
  – Unlimited writes for 5 years!
  – Price: ~ $40K? ($0.4/GB)
    » However, 50TB drive costs $12500 ($0.25/GB)

HDD vs. SSD Comparison

SSD prices drop faster than HDD
Amusing calculation: Is a full Kindle heavier than an empty one?

- Actually, "Yes", but not by much
- Flash works by trapping electrons:
  - So, erased state lower energy than written state
- Assuming that:
  - Kindle has 4GB flash
  - ½ of all bits in full Kindle are in high-energy state
  - High-energy state about 10^{-15} joules higher
  - Then: Full Kindle is 1 attogram (10^{-18} gram) heavier
    (Using E = mc^2)
- Of course, this is less than most sensitive scale can measure (it can measure 10^{-9} grams)
- Of course, this weight difference overwhelmed by battery discharge, weight from getting warm, …

SSD Summary

- Pros (vs. hard disk drives):
  - Low latency, high throughput (eliminate seek/rotational delay)
  - No moving parts:
    » Very light weight, low power, silent, very shock insensitive
  - Read at memory speeds (limited by controller and I/O bus)
- Cons
  - Small storage (0.1-0.5x disk), expensive (3-20x disk)
  » Hybrid alternative: combine small SSD with large HDD

Nano-Tube Memory (NANTERO)

- Yet another possibility: Nanotube memory
  - NanoTubes between two electrodes, slight conductivity difference between ones and zeros
  - No wearout!
- Better than DRAM?
  - Speed of DRAM, no wearout, non-volatile!
  - Nantero promises 512Gb/dice for 8Tb/chip! (with 16 die stacking)
Conclusion (1/2)

- Notification mechanisms
  - Interrupts
  - Polling: Report results through status register that processor looks at periodically
- Device drivers interface to I/O devices
  - Provide clean Read/Write interface to OS above
  - Manipulate devices through PIO, DMA & interrupt handling
  - Three types: block, character, and network
- Direct Memory Access (DMA)
  - Permit devices to directly access memory
  - Free up processor from transferring every byte

Conclusion (2/2)

- Disk Performance:
  - Queuing time + Controller + Seek + Rotational + Transfer
  - Rotational latency: on average $\frac{1}{2}$ rotation
  - Transfer time: spec of disk depends on rotation speed and bit storage density
- Devices have complex interaction and performance characteristics
  - Response time (Latency) = Queue + Overhead + Transfer
    - Effective BW = BW * $T/(S+T)$
  - HDD: Queuing time + controller + seek + rotation + transfer
  - SSD: Queuing time + controller + transfer (erasure & wear)
- Systems (e.g., file system) designed to optimize performance and reliability
  - Relative to performance characteristics of underlying device
- Next time: Bursts & High Utilization introduce queuing delays
  - Queuing Latency:
    - M/M/1 and M/G/1 queues: simplest to analyze
    - As utilization approaches 100%, latency $\rightarrow \infty$
    - $T_q = T_{ser} \times \frac{1}{2}(1+C) \times \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho}$