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General Information:  
This is a **closed book and one 2-sided handwritten note** examination. You have 80 minutes to answer as many questions as possible. The number in parentheses at the beginning of each question indicates the number of points for that question. You should read all of the questions before starting the exam, as some of the questions are substantially more time consuming.

Write all of your answers directly on this paper. **Make your answers as concise as possible.** If there is something in a question that you believe is open to interpretation, then please ask us about it!

**Good Luck!!**
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<thead>
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<th>POINTS OBTAINED</th>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
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</tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
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</table>
1. (28 points total) True/False and short answer questions:
   a. (12 points) True/False and Why? CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER.
      i) The four conditions that must hold in order for deadlock to occur are: Hold-and-wait, circular waiting, starvation and mutual exclusion.

      True
      Why? (One sentence)
      FALSE. Starvation is not a condition for deadlock. It should be no preemption. The correct answer was worth 1 points and the justification was worth an additional 2 points.

      False

   ii) The main advantage of multilevel page tables is that they use page table memory efficiently.

      True
      Why? (One sentence)
      TRUE. Multilevel page tables use memory more efficiently for sparse address spaces than single level tables. The correct answer was worth 1 points and the justification was worth an additional 2 points.

      False

   iii) In the Nachos priority scheduler, if a HIGH priority thread is waiting for a LOW priority thread to release a lock, but there are NO OTHER THREADS in the system, the LOW priority thread's effective priority should be LOW.

      True
      Why? (One sentence)
      FALSE. Priority locks always donate priority when appropriate, independent of the number of threads in the system. The correct answer was worth 1 points and the justification was worth an additional 2 points.

      False
iv) In Nachos, a thread's effective priority can only change when it is waiting on a thread queue.

TRUE     FALSE

Why? (One sentence)
FALSE. A thread's effective priority can also change if it owns a resource. The correct answer was worth 1 points and the justification was worth an additional 2 points.

b. (16 points) Short Answer Questions:
   i) (4 points) Give a two to three sentence brief description of the difference between starvation and deadlock.
   Starvation implies that a thread cannot make progress because other threads are using resources it needs. Starvation can be recovered from if, for example, the other processes finish. Deadlock is a circular wait without preemption that can never be recovered from.

ii) (4 points) When using the Banker’s algorithm for resource allocation, if the system is in an unsafe state, will it always eventually deadlock? Briefly (1-2 sentences) state why or why not.
No, because processes may not request their total possible resources, and may release some resources before acquiring others. Full credit was given for saying the Banker’s algorithm does not allow a system to enter an unsafe state.

iii) (4 points) In two to three sentences briefly discuss why caching is increasingly important in modern computer systems, and why it is of particular concern to the operating system.
Caching is important because the performance gaps in the memory hierarchy are increasing: CPU to memory, memory to disk, etc. Increasing capacity means we can cache more at higher levels of the hierarchy.
iv) (4 points) In two to three sentences briefly explain why the space shuttle failed to launch on April 10, 1981.

As described in Garman’s “The Bug Heard 'round the World,” paper, due to software changes, the PASS could with low probability (1 in 67) incorrectly initialize the system time. This resulted in the PASS being one cycle out of synchronization with the BFS. This caused the first shuttle launch to abort 20 minutes prior to the scheduled launch. The bug points out the challenges of building and maintaining real-time systems, even when hundreds of programmers are involved and hundreds of hours are spent on testing.
2. (25 points) Synchronization primitives: Consider a machine with hardware support for a single thread synchronization primitive, called Compare-And-Swap (CAS). Compare-and-swap is an atomic operation, provided by the hardware, with the following pseudocode:

```c
int compare_and_swap(int *a, int old, int new) {
    if (*a == old) {
        *a = new;
        return 1;
    } else {
        return 0;
    }
}
```

Your first task is to implement the code for a simple spinlock using compare-and-swap. You are not allowed to assume any other hardware or kernel support exists (e.g., disabling interrupts). You may assume your spinlock will be used correctly (i.e., no double release or release by a thread not holding the lock)

a. (3 points) Fill in the code for the spinlock data structure.

```c
struct spinlock { /* Fill in */
    int value = 0;

    We deducted one point for extraneous statements.
}
```

b. (4 points) Fill in the code for the acquire data function.

```c
void acquire(struct spinlock *lock) { /* Fill in */

    while (cas(&lock->value, 0, 1) == 0)
        ; /* spin */

    We deducted two points for extraneous statements, two points for a missing while and four points if your solution did not work.
```
c. (4 points) Fill in the code for the release data function.

```c
void release(struct spinlock *lock) { /* Fill in */

    lock->value = 0;

    We deducted two points for extraneous statements, one point for an unnecessary
    Compare-and-Swap (stores of a word are atomic), and four points if your solution did
    not work.
}
```

After completing your implementation, you realize that using a spinlock is inefficient
for applications that may hold the lock for a long time. You consider using the
following two primitives to implement more efficient locks: atomic_sleep and
wake.

`atomic_sleep` is an atomic operation, provided by the hardware, with the
following pseudocode:

```c
void atomic_sleep(struct *lock, int *val1, int val2){
    *val1 = val2;  /* set val1 to val2 */
    enqueue(lock); /* put current thread on a
    lock’s wait queue*/
    sleep();       /* put current thread to sleep */
}
```

`wake` is non-atomic with the following pseudocode:

```c
void wake(struct lock *lock){
    dequeue(); /* remove a thread (if any) from lock’s
    wait queue and add it to the
    scheduler’s ready queue */
}
```
Your second task is to reimplement your lock code more efficiently using `atomic_sleep` and `wake`. You may use Compare-And-Swap if you want. You are not allowed to assume any other hardware or kernel support exists (e.g., disabling interrupts).

d. (4 points) Fill in the code for the new `lock` data structure.

```c
struct lock { /* Fill in */
    int guard = 0;
    int value = 0;
    Queue queue = NIL;
    // We deducted two points for a missing guard or value and one point for extraneous variables.
}
```

e. (5 points) Fill in the code for the new `acquire` data function.

```c
void acquire(struct lock *lock) { /* Fill in */

    while (1) {
        while (cas(&lock->guard, 0, 1) == 0);
        if (value == 1) {
            atomic_sleep(&lock, &lock->guard, 0);
        } else {
            lock->value = 1;
            lock->guard = 0;
            return;
        }
    }

    // We expected solutions that used a spinlock on a guard for protecting the lock variable, and atomic_sleep for efficient waiting for the lock. We deducted two points for extraneous statements, two points for not setting the lock variable, one point for a missing outer while loop, 2 points for a missing guard, 2 points for not sleeping, one point for misusing the guard, and one point for a dangerous double release of the guard in acquire and release.
```
f. (5 points) Fill in the code for the **new** release data function.

```c
void release(struct lock *lock) { /* Fill in */
    while (cas(&lock->guard, 0, 1) == 0);
    lock->value = 0;
    wake(&lock);
    lock->guard = 0;

    We deducted two points for extraneous statements, two points for no guard, two points for failing to wake a waiting thread, and two points if your solution had other errors, such as not releasing the lock.
}
```
3. (17 points total) Memory management:
   a. (7 points) Consider a memory system with a cache access time of 10ns and a memory access time of 200ns, including the time to check the cache. What hit rate $H$ would we need in order to achieve an effective access time 10% greater than the cache access time? (Symbolic and/or fractional answers are OK)

   Effective Access Time: $T_e = H \cdot T_c + (1 - H) \cdot T_m$,
   where $T_c = 10$ns, $T_e = 1.1 \cdot T_c$, and $T_m = 200$ns.

   Thus, $(1.1)(10) = 10H + (1 - H)200$
   $11 = 10H + 200 - 200H$
   $-189 = -190H$
   $H = 189/190$

   We awarded 4 pts for the correct formula and 3 pts for the correct answer. Many students missed the fact that the miss time includes both the memory access time and the cache access time. If the formula was missing the cache access time, we deducted two points – if the answer based upon this incorrect formula was correct, we did not deduct any additional points.

b. (10 points) Suppose you have a 47-bit virtual address space with a page size of 16 KB and that page table entry takes 8 bytes. How many levels of page tables would be required to map the virtual address space if every page table is required to fit into a single page? Be explicit in your explanation and show how a virtual address is structured.

   A 1-page page table contains $2,048$ or $2^{11}$ PTEs ($2^3 * 2^{11} = 2^{14}$ bytes), pointing to $2^{11}$ pages (addressing a total of $2^{11} * 2^{14} = 2^{25}$ bytes). Adding a second level yields another $2^{11}$ pages of page tables, addressing $2^{11} * 2^{11} * 2^{14} = 2^{36}$ bytes. Adding a third level yields another $2^{11}$ pages of page tables, addressing $2^{11} * 2^{11} * 2^{11} * 2^{14} = 2^{47}$ bytes. So, we need 3 levels.

   The correct answer is worth 5 pts. Correct reasoning is worth up to 5 pts (1 pt for identifying that there are $2^{11}$ PTEs per page, 2 pts for describing how page tables are nested, and 2 pts based upon the quality of the argument).
11 bit page 11 bit page 11 bit page 14 bit offset

2^{11} PTEs
1st level page table

2^{11} PTEs
2nd level page tables

2^{11} PTEs
3rd level page tables

Phys. Mem
4. (15 points total) Concurrency control: Building H$_2$O$_2$.

The goal of this exercise is for you to create a monitor with methods Hydrogen() and Oxygen(), which wait until a Hydrogen Peroxide molecule (H$_2$O$_2$) can be formed and then return. Don’t worry about actually creating the Hydrogen Peroxide molecule; instead only need to wait until two hydrogen threads and two oxygen threads can be grouped together. For example, if two threads call Hydrogen, another thread calls Oxygen, and then a fourth thread calls Oxygen, the fourth thread should wake up the first three threads and they should then all return.

a. (3 points) Specify the correctness constraints. Be succinct and explicit in your answer.

1) Each hydrogen thread waits to be grouped with one other hydrogen and two oxygen threads before returning.
2) Each oxygen thread waits for another oxygen thread and two other hydrogens before returning.
3) Only one thread access shared state at a time

3 good answers received 1 point each. Full three points if the two correctness constraints for hydrogen and oxygen were CORRECTLY combined into one constraint. We deducted one point if there was no shared state constraint.
b. (12 points) Observe that there is only one condition any thread will wait for (i.e., a hydrogen peroxide molecule being formed). However, it will be necessary to signal hydrogen and oxygen threads independently, so we choose to use two condition variables, waitingH and waitingO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State variable description</th>
<th>Variable name</th>
<th>Initial value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of waiting hydrogen threads</td>
<td>wH</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of waiting oxygen threads</td>
<td>wO</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of active hydrogen threads</td>
<td>aH</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of active oxygen threads</td>
<td>aO</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You start with the following code:

```c
Hydrogen() {
  wH++;  // Increment the number of waiting hydrogen threads.
  lock.acquire();  // Acquire the lock to ensure thread safety.
  while (aH == 0) {  // While the number of active hydrogen threads is 0.
    if (wH >= 2 && wO >= 2) {  // If both hydrogen and oxygen are ready.
      wH-=2;  // Decrement the number of waiting hydrogen threads.
      aH+=2;  // Increment the number of active hydrogen threads.
      wO-=2;  // Decrement the number of waiting oxygen threads.
      aO+=2;  // Increment the number of active oxygen threads.
      waitingH.broadcast();  // Broadcast a signal to hydrogen threads.
      waitingO.broadcast();  // Broadcast a signal to oxygen threads.
    } else {
      waitingH.wait(&lock);  // Wait on the hydrogen condition variable.
      lock.acquire();  // Acquire the lock again.
    }
  }
  aH--;  // Decrease the number of active hydrogen threads.
  lock.release();  // Release the lock.
}

Oxygen() {
  wO++;  // Increment the number of waiting oxygen threads.
  lock.acquire();  // Acquire the lock to ensure thread safety.
  while (aO == 0) {  // While the number of active oxygen threads is 0.
    if (wH >= 2 && wO >= 2) {  // If both hydrogen and oxygen are ready.
      wH-=2;  // Decrement the number of waiting hydrogen threads.
      aH+=2;  // Increment the number of active hydrogen threads.
      wO-=2;  // Decrement the number of waiting oxygen threads.
      aO+=2;  // Increment the number of active oxygen threads.
      waitingH.signal();  // Signal hydrogen threads.
      waitingO.signal();  // Signal oxygen threads.
      waitingH.signal();  // Signal hydrogen threads again.
      waitingO.signal();  // Signal oxygen threads again.
    } else {
      waitingO.wait(&lock);  // Wait on the oxygen condition variable.
    }
  }
  aO--;  // Decrease the number of active oxygen threads.
  lock.release();  // Release the lock.
}
```

For each method, say whether the implementation either (i) works, (ii) doesn’t work, or (iii) is dangerous – that is, sometimes works and sometimes doesn’t. If the implementation does not work or is dangerous, explain why (there may be several
errors) and briefly show how to fix it so it does work. Also, list and fix any inefficiencies. **You do not have to reimplement the methods.**

i. Hydrogen()

Nine points total. Correctness: 1 point for saying the routine is either (ii) **doesn’t work** or (iii) **dangerous** – depending on your answer for bug #2 below. Two points for each of three bugs and associated fixes (if the bugs mentioned implied a fix, then full credit was given):

1. Counter: The state variable wH is modified outside of a critical section.
2. Potential deadlock: the thread attempts to reacquire the lock after waiting (when it already holds the lock). Depending on the implementation of locks, this could be a no-op or could deadlock.
3. Inefficient: The Hydrogen broadcast should be a signal.
4. Inefficient: The Oxygen broadcast should be two signals.

We took off 1 point for any additional bugs, since there were no others, except for a complete rewrite of the implementation – which was not what we were looking for.

ii. Oxygen()

Three points total. Correctness: One point for (iii) **dangerous**.
Two points for each reason:
1. Counter: State variable wO modified outside of a critical section.
5. (15 points total) Scheduling. Consider the following processes, arrival times, and CPU processing requirements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process Name</th>
<th>Arrival Time</th>
<th>Processing Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each scheduling algorithm, fill in the table with the process that is running on the CPU (for timeslice-based algorithms, assume a 1 unit timeslice). For RR and SRTF, assume that an arriving thread is run at the beginning of its arrival time, if the scheduling policy allows it. Also, assume that the currently running thread is not in the ready queue while it is running. The turnaround time is defined as the time a process takes to complete after it arrives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>FIFO</th>
<th>RR</th>
<th>SRTF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Turnaround Time:
- FIFO: 
  \[ \frac{(4-0)+(7-2) + (10-5) + (12-6)}{4} = 5 \]
- RR: 
  \[ \frac{(8-0)+(9-2) + (12-5) + (11-6)}{4} = 6.75 \]
- SRTF: 
  \[ \frac{(4-0)+(7-2) + (12-5)+(9-6)}{4} = 4.75 \]

Each column is worth 5 points: 3 for correctness of the schedule (we deducted 1/2/3 points if you made minor/intermediate/major mistakes), and 2 for the average Turnaround time (1 point was deducted for minor errors).