CS 162 Operating Systems and System Programming Fall 2024 MIDTERM 1

INSTRUCTIONS

Please do not open this exam until instructed to do so. Do not discuss exam questions for at least 24 hours after the exam ends, as some students may be taking the exam at a different time.

For questions with circular bubbles, you should select exactly one choice.

- \bigcirc You must choose either this option
- \bigcirc Or this one, but not both!

For questions with square checkboxes, you may select *multiple* choices.

- \Box You could select this choice.
- \Box You could select this one too!

GENERAL INFORMATION

This is a **closed book** exam. You are allowed 1 page of notes (both sides). You have 110 minutes to complete as much of the exam as possible. Make sure to read all of the questions first, as some of the questions are substantially more time consuming.

Write all of your answers directly on this paper. *Make your answers as concise as possible*. On programming questions, we will be looking for performance as well as correctness, so think through your answers carefully. If there is something about the questions that you believe is open to interpretation, please ask us about it!

Problem	Possible	Score
1	16	
2	18	
3	18	
4	18	
5	9	
6	21	
Total	100	

Preliminaries

This is a proctored, closed-book exam. You are allowed 1 page of notes (both sides). You may not use a calculator. You have 110 minutes to complete as much of the exam as possible. This exam is out of 100 points. Make sure to read all the questions first, as some are substantially more time-consuming.

If there is something about the questions you believe is open to interpretation, please ask us about it.

We will overlook minor syntax errors when grading coding questions. There is a reference sheet at the end of the exam that you may find helpful.

(a)

Name

(b)

Student ID

(c)

Discussion TA's Full Name

(d)

Please read the following honor code: "I understand this is a closed-book exam. I promise the answers I give on this exam are my own. I understand that I am allowed to use one 8.5x11, double-sided, handwritten cheat-sheets of my own making, but otherwise promise not to consult other people, physical resources (e.g. textbooks), or the internet in constructing my answers."

Write your full name below to acknowledge that you've read and agreed to this statement.

1. (16.0 points) True/False

Please explain your answer in **TWO SENTENCES OR LESS**. Longer explanations will **GET NO CREDIT**. Answers without an explanation or that just rephrase the question will **GET NO CREDIT**.

- (a) (2.0 pt) The interrupt vector table can be safely stored in user memory because user-level application does not modify these handlers directly.
 - True. False.

Explain.

False. The interrupt vector table is an array of pointers with each entry pointing to the first instruction of a different handler procedure in the kernel. Storing this in user memory would be very risky, as it exposes critical system information and should be protected from unauthorized access by user-level applications. Similarly, the hardware register that points to the interrupt vector table must be a protected register that can be set only when in kernel mode.

(b) (2.0 pt) A thread cannot be preempted during a critical section (i.e. after successfully acquiring a lock and before releasing it).

○ True. False.

Explain.

The thread can still get preempted. If another thread attempts to acquire the lock that the original thread acquired, it will get blocked waiting for the lock to become free again.

- (c) (2.0 pt) Upon a mode transfer from user to kernel, user registers are saved in kernel memory.
 - True.
 False.

Explain.

On most processors, a privileged hardware register points to a region of kernel memory called the interrupt stack. Upon a context switch into the kernel, the hardware changes the stack pointer to the base of the kernel's interrupt stack and saves some of the interrupted user process's registers by pushing them onto the interrupt stack before calling the kernel's handler. We use a separate, kernel level interrupt stack instead of the process's user level stack to store its state because, in short, the kernel cannot trust the user-mode stack.

(d) (2.0 pt) In a base&bound system, only the kernel would have access to special instructions to change the base&bound registers.

True.
 False.

Explain.

If this were not the case (i.e. the user can change its own base&bound registers), any process will be able to access any arbitrary memory location by setting base to 0 and bound to the size of physical memory.

- (e) (2.0 pt) In dual mode operation, a user thread and its associated kernel thread can execute in parallel.
 - True. False.

Explain.

A single OS thread switches between user and supervisor modes. This is why they are referred to as kernel and user *stacks*. Upon a mode transfer, the user thread will simply swap out its registers and stack pointer for the ones of the corresponding kernel context.

- (f) (2.0 pt) You can choose to overwrite the file descriptors for STDIN, STDOUT, and STDERR in Linux.
 - True.○ False.

Explain.

You can use the (dup2) syscall with the file descriptor numbers 0, 1, and 2.

- (g) (2.0 pt) A thread can modify the stack variables of another thread in the same process.
 - True. False.

Explain.

Since the threads in the same process share an address space, they can all access the same memory (including each other's stacks).

(h) (2.0 pt) Creating a pipe or socket in Unix will allocate a file on disk for two processes to communicate.

○ True. False.

Explain.

Even though pipes and sockets share the same interface with file descriptors, it does not necessarily mean that there is an underlying file on disk. It may be writing to an allocated buffer in kernel memory.

2. (18.0 points) Multiple Select

- (a) (2.5 pt) Select all true statements.
 - □ Atomic operations cannot be performed in user mode for security reasons.
 - The wait operation in a condition variable requires an implementation that atomically drops the lock and puts the calling thread on the corresponding wait queue.
 - \Box You can check the value of a semaphore and decide whether you want to wait or proceed.
 - Calling pthread_mutex_lock and pthread_mutex_lock again immediately after in the same thread results in undefined behavior.
 - □ Calling sem_wait and sem_wait again immediately after results in undefined behavior.
 - A. False. Atomic operations can be performed in user memory to implement user-level locks.
 - B. True. If wait were to be preempted between the time it drops the lock and goes to sleep, another thread can acquire this lock and signal an empty condition variable with no waiting threads. Then, the original thread can be re-scheduled and go to sleep, possibly to never be signaled.
 - C. False. See Lecture 9. Examining the value of a semaphore is not part of the semaphore interface, not to mention it is racy.
 - D. True. A thread may attempt to acquire a lock that it has already acquired, but the lock will never become free since the owner of the lock is now blocked forever, waiting on itself.
 - E. False. This is allowed. It will simply decrement the semaphore by 2.
- (b) (2.5 pt) Select all true statements about POSIX threads (pthreads).
 - When the last thread in a process exits, the process will terminate.
 - □ Once pthread_create is called, the new thread will start running immediately.
 - Once pthread_join is called on a sleeping thread, the calling thread will be blocked immediately.
 - □ Once pthread join is called, the thread being joined on will start running immediately.
 - After a thread calls sched yield, the OS may immediately reschedule the same thread to run.
 - A. True. The process will exit with a status of 0.
 - B. False. The scheduler does not guarantee that the new thread will begin to run immediately.
 - C. True. The calling thread will be blocked until the thread being joined on exits.
 - D. False. The scheduler does not guarantee that the thread being joined on will begin to run immediately.
 - E. True. Yielding does not guarantee that the thread will be blocked.

- (c) (2.5 pt) Select all true statements regarding Pintos.
 - Virtual address 0xc0004567 always maps to the same physical address in Pintos.
 - Even in user mode, kernel memory is always mapped.
 - Virtual address 0x08045671 may refer to multiple locations in physical memory, depending on the currently running user process.
 - □ The kernel can read from any user virtual address in Pintos, including unmapped user addresses.
 - □ The PCB of a process is stored on the stack of its main thread.
 - A. True. Kernel virtual memory (above PHYS_BASE) has a one-to-one mapping with physical memory.)
 - B. True. Kernel memory is still mapped, but it is set to kernel-only. If the user tries to access kernel memory, it generates an access violation error.
 - C. True. Virtual memory allows each user process to have its own "version" of the address space.
 - D. False. The kernel will page fault and panic if it attempts to dereference NULL in user memory.
 - E. False. The Pintos kernel allocates memory for the PCB with malloc (which is not the same as the user version of malloc), not on the stack.
- (d) (2.5 pt) Which of the following are guaranteed to terminate the running user process?
 - Receiving the SIGKILL signal
 - □ Segmentation fault (i.e. receiving SIGSEGV)
 - □ Interrupts
 - \Box Executing a trap instruction
 - \Box None of the above.
 - A. True. The handler for SIGKILL cannot be changed and will default to terminating the process.
 - B. False. The handler for SIGSEGV can be changed with sigaction.
 - C. False. Interrupts, for example from I/O devices, do not necessarily terminate the process.
 - D. False. Trap instructions such as syscall does not necessarily terminate the process.
- (e) (2.5 pt) Select all that is true about sockets and IPC.
 - **pipe** allocates two new file descriptors, one for reading and another for writing.
 - A socket is a file descriptor which you can read or write to.
 - □ Processes on the same machine cannot communicate with each other through a socket.
 - Threads in the same process can communicate with each other through a pipe.
 - Typing CTRL + C in a UNIX shell is a form of interprocess communication.
 - A. Pipe allocates two file descriptors, one for the write end and one for the read end.
 - B. Unix domain sockets implement read and write, sharing the same interface with all file I/O.
 - C. You can make a connection on the loopback address.
 - D. The interface for a pipe does not assume anything about the process that the reader/writer belong to.

- (f) (2.5 pt) Select which of the following statements are true. Assume all syscalls mentioned are successful.
 - □ Calling open on the same file twice within the same process creates two distinct file descriptors corresponding to the same file description.
 - Immediately after fork, parent processes and child processes own identical sets of file descriptors, which refer to the same file descriptions.
 - □ Suppose a process with some valid file descriptor 3 forks a new process. If the child process calls close(3), the file will also be closed for the parent.
 - Every call to **fread** makes a call to **read**.
 - \Box None of the above.
 - A. Each call to open allocates a new file descriptor and a new file description.
 - B. fork copies the file descriptor, and the child's file descriptors alias the same file descriptions opened in the parent.
 - C. This is simply not true. Suppose this were true. Say you fork a new process and it crashes, implicitly closing all file descriptors that it owned. Then, all of your file descriptors will then become invalid, through no fault of your own.
 - D. The high-level file API **fread** buffers data in userspace, so it may simply return data from the userspace buffer instead of making a call to **read**.
- (g) (2.5 pt) Select which of the following statements are true.
 - □ A modern OS regains control of a CPU from a program stuck in an infinite loop through an trap/exception.
 - □ The user-level code implementation for a lock can disable and reenable interrupts without trapping into the kernel.
 - Atomic instructions provide a better alternative for implementing locks on multiprocessor systems when compared to disabling and reenabling interrupts.
 - Semaphores can be used to enforce mutual exclusion.
 - \Box None of the above.
 - A. A trap/exception is an event from the pipeline that is synchronous to the program execution. The kernel does not cause this to happen in the user program.
 - B. Permitting users to disable interrupts can allow them hog CPU resources. So this does not happen.
 - C. Since disabling interrupts is local to each processing unit, you must use atomic instructions in shared memory to implement locks in MP systems.
 - D. A binary semaphore can be used as a mutex.

- (h) (2.5 pt) Select all true statements.
 - \Box You can look at the source code of an executable with objdump.
 - You can set a breakpoint in GDB with break.
 - In gcc, you can define a macro with the "-D" flag.
 - □ An object file's symbol table contains the names, scope, and memory addresses of both stack and global variables.
 - $\hfill\square$ None of the above.
 - A. objdump does not show you the source code of an executable. Note: We gave full credit, since assembly code also counts.
 - B. This is just what break does.
 - C. This is what -D does.
 - D. The symbol table does not contain addresses of stack variables, since the stack is allocated at runtime.

3. (18.0 points) Short Answer

- (a) (2.0 pt) Provide 3 scenarios that a thread goes from a 'RUNNING' state to a 'BLOCKED' state. When mentioning specific functions that may be called, clarify the constraints under which the thread becomes 'BLOCKED'.
 - 1. Waiting on I/O
 - 2. Attempting to acquire a lock, waiting on a semaphore, or waiting on a condition variable.
 - 3. Calling pthread_join or wait on a thread or process, respectively, that has not already terminated.
 - 4. Calling sleep()
- (b) (2.0 pt) What needs to be saved and restored on a context switch between two threads in the same process? What if the two threads are in different processes?

We must save the processor registers, which include the stack pointer, program counter in the TCB of the thread that is no longer running, and reload the same from the TCB of the newly running thread.

When we switch processes, we need to save additional states such as the page table base pointer and segment descriptors. These would get saved in the PCB.

(c) (3.0 pt) Define the 3 types of user to kernel mode transfer and give an example of each one.

Syscalls: Process voluntarily requests the kernel to perform an operation on the user's behalf. Something like open.

Interrupts: asynchronous events external to the pipeline that needs attention, e.g. timer interrupts, I/O devices)

Exceptions: internal synchronous event like when a process accesses invalid memory or divides by zero.

- (d) (3.0 pt) Explain the key difference between Mesa and Hoare semantics. Provide one advantage of each kind of semantic.
 - i. (1.5 pt) Hoare Semantics:

In Hoare semantics, the ownership of the lock and is transferred from the signaler to the waiter, and the waiter is immediately scheduled. This allows for simpler synchronization (usually if instead of a while loop to check a condition).

ii. (1.5 pt) Mesa Semantics:

In Mesa semantics, the signaler puts the waiter on the ready queue, allowing it to run sometime after the signaler releases the lock. This is simpler and a more realistic guarantee to implement in a real system.

(e) (2.0 pt)

Provide two specific reasons why concurrency without parallelism may be useful in an operating system, even when it incurs additional context switching overhead.

- 1. Masking I/O latency: When a thread/process must wait for an I/O operation to complete, concurrency allows the OS to switch to running another thread-/process that can use the CPU, instead of having the CPU idle.
- 2. Real-time responses: Concurrency enables interleaving of different thread executions, which allows for handling time-sensitive tasks responsively instead of waiting for all previously scheduled tasks to complete first.
- (f) (2.0 pt) Suppose we want to implement a program that echoes its input from STDIN to STDOUT. Instead, we want to make this echo exactly twice. For simplicity of this question and the next, assume that all calls to read/write will succeed and read the maximum number of bytes possible. The length of the input is fixed and defined as a macro. We have an implementation of echo here:

```
#define INPUT_LEN 64
int main(void) {
    pid_t pid = fork();
    char buffer[INPUT_LEN + 1];
    buffer[INPUT_LEN] = '\0';
    read(STDIN_FILENO, buffer, INPUT_LEN);
    printf("%s\n", buffer);
}
```

This will always fail to achieve the desired effect. Explain why in 2 sentences or fewer.

File descriptors are aliased, so they'll try to read from the same STDIN and consume the same file stream. Responses mentioning that "it is non-deterministic" or that "it reads twice" were not accepted, since it always fails to elaborate why it does not achieve the intended output.

(g) (3.0 pt) Given the following code, how many threads will be created and what will be printed to the console? Assume that all calls to pthread_create succeed. Do not count the main thread (i.e the thread where main executes)

```
typedef struct {
  int spawn;
  int target;
} shared_t;
int helper(void *arg) {
  shared_t *shared = (shared_t *)arg;
  shared->target++;
  shared->spawn--;
  if (shared->spawn >= 0) {
   pthread_t new_thread;
   pthread_create(&new_thread, NULL, (void *)helper, shared);
    pthread_join(new_thread, NULL);
  }
}
int main(void) {
  shared_t shared = {.spawn = 5, .target = 0};
  pthread_t new_thread;
  pthread_create(&new_thread, NULL, (void *)helper, (void *)&shared);
  pthread_join(new_thread, NULL);
  printf("%d", shared.target);
  return 0;
}
```

i. (1.5 pt)

How many threads will be created and what will be printed to the console?

```
6 threads, 6 because each thread will create another thread until shared.spawn is less than 0. So the flow of thread creation of the shared.spawn value will look as so:
main -> thread 0 (shared=5) -> thread 1 (shared 4) -> thread 2 (shared 3) -> thread 3 (shared 2) -> thread 4 (shared 1) -> thread 5 (shared 0) -> thread 6 (shared -1)
```

ii. (1.5 pt)

If the pthread_join method in **helper** was removed, how many threads would be guaranteed to execute and what would be the output? Again, do not count the main thread.

1 thread. The thread directly under main is guaranteed to execute because main will not continue until that thread has exited. The other threads may or may not execute if the main thread completes before they are scheduled to run. Non-deterministic output. Because the thread after main could end before all the other subthreads complete, main would continue and print whatever the value of shared.target, which is how many threads were created, is at its time of completion.

- 4. (18.0 points) Jacob's Atomics
 - (a) (3.0 pt) Assume x = 0 and y = 0 initially.

```
      Thread A:
      Thread B:

      test-and-set x, reg1 // reg1=Mem[x], Mem[x]=1
      load y, reg2 // reg2 = Mem[y]

      add 1, reg1 // reg1 = reg1 + 1
      add 1, reg2 // reg2 = reg2 + 1

      store reg1, y
      // Mem[y] = reg1
      store reg2, x // Mem[x] = reg2
```

After both threads finish executing, what are all possible values of (x, y)? Consider all interleavings of Thread A and Thread B.

```
(1, 1), (2, 1), (1, 2)
```

(b) (4.0 pt) Here is the syntax and functionality of compare-and-swap:

```
bool CAS(int* mem, int cmp, int swp) {
    if (*mem == cmp) {
        *mem = swp;
        return true;
    } else
        return false;
}
```

In the following question, we may have many concurrent threads that are accessing and modifying the value at int* sum. Implement a thread-safe function that adds an int val to the integer stored at int* sum, using the atomic instruction compare-and-swap.

```
void adder(int* sum, int val) {
    int old;
    int tmp;
    do {
        old = *sum;
        tmp = old + val;
    } while (!CAS(sum, old, tmp));
}
```

(c) (11.0 pt) Now forget about compare-and-swap. Suppose the only atomic operation you had was fetchand-add whose functionality can be described in the following pseudocode:

```
int FAA(int* swp, int t) { // t can be negative
    int old = *swp;
    *swp += t;
    return old;
}
```

Recall **futex** is a syscall that allows a thread to put itself to sleep on a queue associated with a user-level address. It also allows a thread to ask the kernel to wake up threads that might be sleeping on the same queue. Here is also the function signature for 'futex':

```
int futex(int *uaddr, int futex_op, int val);
```

If futex_op == FUTEX_WAIT, the kernel checks atomically as follows:

- If (*uaddr == val): The calling thread will be put to sleep.
- If (*uaddr != val): The calling thread will keep running (i.e. futex returns immediately)
- If futex_op == FUTEX_WAKE, this function will wake up to 'val' waiting threads.

We want to implement a lock that allows threads to acquire the lock in a FIFO order that they arrive in. This can be done through a "ticketing" system, where threads acquire a number with fetch-and-add and wait for the lock to become that number. We also want to optimize for minimal busy-waiting with futex.

```
typedef struct lock {
        int ticket;
        int serving;
        int num_waiting;
} lock_t;
void lock_init(lock_t* lock) {
        lock->ticket = 0;
        lock->serving = 0;
        lock->num_waiting = 0;
}
void lock_acquire(lock_t* lock) {
    int my_ticket;
    int old;
   my_ticket = FAA(&lock->ticket, 1);
    while (1) {
        old = lock->serving;
        if (lock->serving != my_ticket) {
            FAA(&lock->num_waiting, 1);
            futex(&lock->serving, FUTEX_WAIT, old);
            FAA(&lock->num_waiting, -1);
        } else {
            break;
        }
   }
}
void lock_release(lock_t* lock) {
    lock->serving += 1; // Credit also given to FAA(&lock->serving, 1);
    futex(&lock->serving, FUTEX_WAKE, lock->num_waiting);
}
```

5. (9.0 points) Aditya's World

Aditya is building a video game and wants your help! His game is cooperative, where n players must work together to press a button that is on the other side of a bridge. Multiple players **must** be allowed to cross the bridge at the same time. The #1 rule of the game is that no player can press the button until **all** players have crossed the bridge. Eventually, all players must press the button. Help Aditya implement the following program to build his game. You may not need to use all lines provided. You may **not** use semicolons.

```
You have access to the following global variables:
int n;
Lock lock;
Semaphore sem;
And the following synchronization interfaces:
sem.wait()
sem.post()
lock.acquire()
lock.release()
And finally, this is what each player should call to cross the bridge:
cross_bridge()
initialize_game() {
n = NUM_PLAYERS;
lock.init();
sem.init(0);
}
play_game() {
                             // Each player enters the game with `play_game`.
    int temp;
                              // You may use this local variable if necessary.
    cross_bridge();
    lock.acquire();
    temp = --n;
    lock.release();
    if (temp == 0):
        sem.post();
    sem.wait();
    sem.post();
    press_button();
```

}

6. (18.0 points) Pintoast Bakery

Diana is the owner of Pintoast Bakery. There are multiple chefs that bake customers' orders one at a time. A customer will first enter the bakery and place their order. After a chef bakes their order, the customer will pay and leave the bakery, allowing another customer to come in.

- (a) Chefs should bake orders in a first-come first-serve manner.
- (b) Chefs should not block other cooks or customers while baking an order.
- (c) A bakery can only hold up to 100 customers at any given time.

```
typedef struct bakery {
    int capacity;
    struct list orders;
    struct condition customerWait;
    struct condition customerDone;
    struct condition chefDone;
    struct lock orders_lock;
} bakery_t;
typedef struct order {
   bool cooked;
   struct list_elem elem;
   /* Other fields hidden */
} order t:
/* Assume bake, enter, and leave always execute successfully. */
void bake(order_t* order) { /* Implementation details hidden */ };
void enter(bakery_t* bakery) { /* Implementation details hidden */ };
void leave(bakery_t* bakery) { /* Implementation details hidden */ };
```

(a) Implement the following program to help Diana set up her Pintoast bakery in Pintos.

```
/* Assume that bakery is properly initialized and that
bakery->capacity = 100 before any customer enters the bakery. */
void chef(bakery_t* bakery) {
    lock_acquire(&bakery->orders_lock); // A
    while (list_empty(&bakery->orders)) // B
        cond_wait(&bakery->customerWait, &bakery->orders_lock);
    struct list_elem* e = list_pop_front(&(bakery->orders)); // C
    order_t* order = list_entry(e, order_t, elem);
    lock_release(&bakery->orders_lock); // D
    bake(order);
    lock_acquire(&bakery->orders_lock); // E
    order->cooked = True; // E
    cond_broadcast(&bakery->chefDone, &bakery->orders_lock); // E
    lock_release(&bakery->orders_lock); // E
```

(b) (10.0 pt) Customer Implementation

```
/* Assume that bakery->capacity = 100 before any customer enters the bakery.
If there are already 100 customers in the bakery, a new customer should wait
for someone to leave before entering the bakery. */
void customer(bakery_t* bakery, order_t* order) {
    lock_acquire(&bakery->orders_lock); // A
    while (!bakery->capacity) // B
        cond_wait(&bakery->customerDone, &bakery->orders_lock); // C
    bakery->capacity--; // D
    enter(bakery);
    list_push_back(&bakery->orders, &order->elem);
    cond_signal(&bakery->customerWait, &bakery->orders_lock); // E
    while (!order->cooked) // F
        cond_wait(&bakery->chefDone, &bakery->orders_lock); // G
    leave(bakery);
    bakery->capacity++; // H
    cond_signal(&bakery->customerDone, &bakery->orders_lock); // H
    lock_release(&bakery->orders_lock); // H
}
```

```
Reference Sheet
```

```
/* Processes */
pid_t fork(void);
pid_t wait(int *status);
pid_t waitpid(pid_t pid, int *status, int options);
int execv(const char *path, char *const argv[]);
/* pthreads */
int pthread_create(pthread_t *thread, const pthread_attr_t *attr,
      void* (*start_routine (void *)), void *arg);
int pthread_join(pthread_t thread, void **retval);
void pthread_exit(void *retval);
/* pthread Semaphore interface */
int sem_init(sem_t *sem, int pshared, unsigned int value);
int sem_wait(sem_t *sem); /* The p() or down() operation */
int sem_post(sem_t *sem); /* The v() or up() operation */
/* pthread Lock/mutex operations */
pthread_mutex_t mutex = PTHREAD_MUTEX_INITIALIZER;
int pthread_mutex_init(pthread_mutex_t *mutex, const pthread_mutexattr_t *attr);
int pthread_mutex_destroy(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_mutex_lock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_mutex_trylock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
int pthread_mutex_unlock(pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
/* pthread Condition Variable */
pthread_cond_t cond = PTHREAD_COND_INITIALIZER;
int pthread_cond_init(pthread_cond_t *cond, const pthread_condattr_t *attr);
int pthread_cond_signal(pthread_cond_t *cond);
int pthread_cond_broadcast(pthread_cond_t *cond);
int pthread_cond_wait(pthread_cond_t *cond, pthread_mutex_t *mutex);
/* PintOS locks */
void lock_init(struct lock *lock);
void lock_acquire(struct lock *lock);
void lock_release(struct lock *lock);
/* PintOS semaphore interface */
void sema_init(struct semaphore *sema, unsigned value);
void sema_down(struct semaphore *sema);
void sema_up(struct semaphore *sema);
/* PintOS condition variables */
void cond_init(struct condition *cond);
void cond_wait(struct condition *cond, struct lock *lock);
void cond_signal(struct condition *cond, struct lock *lock);
void cond_broadcast(struct condition *cond, struct lock *lock);
/* PintOS Readers/Writers Locks */
void rw_lock_init(struct rw_lock*);
void rw_lock_acquire(struct rw_lock*, bool reader);
void rw_lock_release(struct rw_lock*, bool reader);
/* PintOS List */
void list_init(struct list *list);
```

```
struct list_elem *list_head(struct list *list);
struct list_elem *list_tail(struct list *list);
struct list_elem *list_begin(struct list *list);
struct list_elem *list_next(struct list_elem *elem);
struct list_elem *list_end(struct list *list);
struct list_elem *list_remove(struct list_elem *elem);
bool list_empty(struct list *list);
#define list_entry(LIST_ELEM, STRUCT, MEMBER) ...
void list_insert(struct list_elem *before, struct list_elem *elem);
void list_insert_ordered(struct list* list, struct list_elem* elem, list_less_func* less, void* aux);
void list_push_front(struct list *list, struct list_elem *elem);
void list_push_back(struct list *list, struct list_elem *elem);
struct list_elem* list_pop_front(struct list* list);
struct list_elem* list_pop_back(struct list* list);
/* Strings */
char *strcpy(char *dest, char *src);
char *strdup(char *src);
/* Interrupt enable/disable */
enum intr_level {};
enum intr_level intr_get_level(void)
enum intr_level intr_set_level(enum intr_level level)
enum intr_level intr_enable(void)
enum intr_level intr_disable(void)
/* High-Level IO */
FILE *fopen(const char *pathname, const char *mode);
int fclose(FILE *stream);
size_t fread(void *ptr, size_t size, size_t nmemb, FILE *stream);
size_t fwrite(const void *ptr, size_t size, size_t nmemb, FILE *stream);
fprintf(FILE * restrict stream, const char * restrict format, ...);
/* Low-Level IO */
int open(const char *pathname, int flags);
int open(const char *pathname, int flags, mode_t mode);
int close(int fd);
ssize_t read(int fd, void *buf, size_t count);
ssize_t write(int fd, const void *buf, size_t count);
off_t lseek(int fd, off_t offset, int whence);
int dup(int oldfd);
int dup2(int oldfd, int newfd);
int pipe(int pipefd[2]);
int close(int fd);
/* Socket */
int socket(int domain, int type, int protocol);
int bind(int sockfd, struct sockaddr *addr, socklen_t addrlen);
int listen(int sockfd, int backlog);
int accept(int sockfd, structure sockaddr *addr, socklen_t *addrlen);
int connect(int sockfd, struct sockaddr *addr, socklen_t addrlen);
ssize_t send(int sockfd, const void *buf, size_t len, int flags);
/* Memory */
void *memcpy(void *dest, const void * src, size_t n)
```